

United States Patent and Trademark Office

C.G.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/803,176	03/17/2004		Shoji Yokoi	811_044	4133
25191	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BURR & BR			RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5714.5552, 777 15257 7552			2856		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/803,176	YOKOI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert R. Raevis	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-29-04 7 - ≥ 7 - ○ 4	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities: The Brief Description of the Drawings refers to Figures 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 4a, 4b, 7a, 7b; and yet the drawings to not utilize these labels. Please amend drawing to reflect that they are separate figures.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi et al.

Yamaguchi et al teach a method/system to excite a driving vibration in a vibrator 2 for outputting a detection signal generated based on the driving vibration and a physical value and for measuring the physical value bases on the detection signal, wherein the driving vibration is excited using a "CR oscillator circuit" (col. 6, last line), the method/system applying an activating signal of "rectangular" (col. 9, line 29) wave on the vibrator using the CR oscillator to crate the vibration.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/803,176

Art Unit: 2856

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Yamaguchi et al.

Comments the exist above also apply here. In addition, it is known that CR type oscillators include the ring oscillator type, as evidenced by Katakura (col. 1, lines 48-52).

Claims 3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al in view of Katakura.

It would have been obvious to employ a ring type oscillator as

Yamaguchi's CR oscillator because Katakura teaches (col. 1, lines 48-52) that a
ring type oscillator is a leading type of oscillator of the CR type.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sakai et al teach use of a "rectangular wave" (col. 8, line 28) for a sensor. However, the wave does not drive a vibrator as called for in Applicant's claims 1-4.

Suzuki et al apply a "rectangular" (col. 8, line 50) wave to an acceleration sensor.

Bae apply a "rectangular" (col. 12, line 50) wave for measuring density.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2856

571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am3:30pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROUGE